into the expressions for $\lambda_{v, x}^{+}$and $h_{v_{, ~}^{+}}$from (1.19) and (1.21).
We note that the results obtained here can be applied to a fairly large number of contact and mixed problems of elasticity theory as well as to modified mixed problems of mathematical physics. The need to tabulate the functions $S_{v}^{\mathrm{x}(3)}(x, \theta)(0 \leqslant x<\infty)$ and $G_{v, x}^{ \pm}(\operatorname{arccom} x)(|x|<1)$ arises here; this can be achieved by using continued fractions /10-12/.
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# ASYMPTOTIC SOLUTION OF THREE-DIMENSIONAL PROBLEMS OF THE THEORY OF ELASTICITY OF EXTENDED PLANE SEPARATION CRACKS* 

R.V. GOL'DSHTEIN, A.V. KAPTSOV, and L.B. KOREL'SHTEIN

A solution of three-dimensional elasticity theory problems for separation cracks occupying a plane domain with one characteristic dimension much smaller than the other is constructed by the method of matched asymptotic expansions (cracks that are extended along a certain plane curve). The appropriate terms of the expansion of the solution in a small parameter characterizing the extent of the crack are constructed using an integrodifferential equation in the displacement of points of the crack surface. For cracks that are extended along a line, the representation of the integrodifferential equation in terms of a two-dimensional Fourier transform is used, which substantially simplifies the calculation. In the general case, the expansion is executed directly in the equation written in $x$-space. The asymptotic expansion constructed is valid in the middle part of the

[^0]crack, outside of certain small neighbourhoods of the ends of the curve along which the crack is drawn. The accuracy of the solution obtained is analysed, and formulas are presented for the crack aperture and the distribution of the stress intensity factors for specific kinds of cracks: cracks of elliptical planform, a ring and ring sector, crescents, bounded by parabolic arcs, narrow crescent domains, extended along a parabolic arc, etc. A comparison of the results with existing solutions of elliptical and annular cracks, as well as with numerical solutions constructed specially by a variational-difference method for cracks of different shape demonstrates the high efficiency of the asymptotic formulas obtained.

1. Rectilinear extended crack. We consider a homogeneous isotropic medium with a crack occupying the domain $G$ in the $z=0$ plane. Oppositely directed normal forces

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{z z}{ }^{+}(x, y, 0)=\sigma_{z z}^{-}(x, y, 0)=-p(x, y) \leqslant 0 \\
& \sigma_{x x}(x, y, 0)=\sigma_{y z}(x, y, 0)=0,(x, y) \in G
\end{aligned}
$$

are applied to the crack surfaces (the superscripts plus and minus correspond to the upper and lower crack edges). There is no load at infinity. Then $/ 1-3 /$, the tangential components of the displacement of the crack surfaces are continuous

$$
u_{x}^{+}(x, y, 0)=u_{x}^{-}(x, y, 0), u_{y}^{+}(x, y, 0)=u_{y}^{-}(x, y, 0),(x, y) \in G
$$

and we have for the normal components of the displacement

$$
u_{z}^{+}(x, y, 0)=-u_{z}^{-}(x, y, 0)=u(x, y) \geqslant 0,(x, y) \in G
$$

Determination of the displacement of separation crack surfaces reduces to seeking a bounded function $u(x, y)$ that equals zero outside the domain $G$ and satisfies the following integro-differential equation for $(x, y) \in G$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{x y} \iint_{C} \frac{u\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)}{r} d x^{\prime} d y^{\prime}=-2 \pi \beta p(x, y), \quad(x, y) \in G  \tag{1.1}\\
& r^{2}=\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)^{2}, \beta=\mu(1-v)^{-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\mu$ and $v$ are, respectively, the shear modulus and Poisson's ratio of the medium, and $\Delta_{x y}$ is the two-dimensional Laplace operator.

Equation (1.1) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{G}\left\{F_{x y}^{-1}\left[\sqrt{\xi_{x}{ }^{2}+\xi_{y}{ }^{2}}\right] * u(x, y)\right\}=\beta p(x, y), \quad(x, y) \in G \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{x y}$ is the Fourier transform

$$
F_{x y}[\varphi(x, y)]=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty} \exp \left[i\left(\xi_{x} x+\xi_{y} y\right)\right] \varphi(x, y) d x d y
$$

$P_{G}$ is the operator of the constraint on the domain $G$ and the functions in (1.2) are understood in the generalized sense $\quad\left(u \in S^{\prime}\left(R^{2}\right), \sqrt{\xi_{x}^{2}+\xi_{y}{ }^{2}} \in S^{\prime}\left(R^{2}\right), p \in S^{\prime}(G), F_{x y}: S^{\prime}\left(R^{2}\right) \rightarrow\right.$ $S^{\prime}\left(R^{2}\right), P_{G}: S^{\prime}\left(R^{2}\right) \rightarrow S^{\prime}(G)$, [4]).

Let the crack occupy a domain $G(\varepsilon)$ of the following form (Fig. 1 ): $|x| \leqslant L,|y| \leqslant e \rho(x)$, where $L>0$, the function $\rho(x)$ is bounded and $\rho(x) \in C^{2}(-L, L), \rho \geqslant 0$ and the dimensionless parameter $\varepsilon>0$. For small $\varepsilon$ we obtain a narrow crack stretched along the $O x$ axis. The problem is to determine the asymptotic of the edge displacements $u(x, y, \varepsilon)$ (corresponding to the crack $G(\varepsilon))$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

We introduce the internal coordinate $Y=\varepsilon^{-1} y$. Then the crack will occupy a constant domain $G:|x| \leqslant L,|Y| \leqslant \rho(x)$ in the $x, Y$ coordinates. In the Fourier transforms $\xi_{y}=\varepsilon \xi_{\nu}$ corresponds to the coordinate $Y$; hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{x y}^{-1}\left[\sqrt{\xi_{x}^{2}+\xi_{y}^{2}}\right]=e^{-1} F^{-1}\left[\sqrt{\xi_{x}^{2}+\xi y^{2} \varepsilon^{-2}}\right], \quad F=F_{x y} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (1.3) into (1.2) and taking into account that the convolution is reduced $\varepsilon$ times in the new ( $x, Y$ ) coordinates, we obtain.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{G}\left\{F^{-1}\left[\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2} \xi_{x}^{2}+\xi Y^{2}}\right] * u(x, Y, \varepsilon)\right\}=\varepsilon \beta p(x, Y, \varepsilon), \quad(x, Y) \in G \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

 following asymptotic forms are derived directly from the definition of the generalized functions by standard methods of regularizing integrals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\varepsilon^{2} \xi_{x}^{2}+\xi_{Y}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 1}=\eta+o(1), \quad \eta=\eta\left(\xi_{X}, \xi_{Y}, \varepsilon\right)=P \frac{1}{\left|\xi_{Y}\right|}+\ln \frac{4}{\varepsilon^{2} \xi_{X}^{3}} \delta\left(\xi_{Y}\right) \\
& \xi_{Y}^{2}\left(\varepsilon^{2} \xi_{X}^{2}+\xi_{Y}^{2}\right)^{-1 / r}=\left|\xi_{Y}\right|+\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} \xi_{X}^{2}\left[P \frac{1}{\left|\xi_{Y}\right|}+2 \delta\left(\xi_{Y}\right)-2 \eta\right]+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \\
& \left(P \frac{1}{\left|\xi_{Y}\right|}, \varphi\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\varphi\left(\xi_{x}, \xi_{Y}\right)-\theta\left(1-\left|\xi_{Y}\right|\right) \varphi\left(\xi_{x}, 0\right)\right] \frac{d \xi_{X} d \xi_{Y}}{\left|\xi_{Y}\right|} \\
& \varphi\left(\xi_{X}, \xi_{Y}\right) \in S\left(R^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We hence have
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& F^{-1}\left[\left|\xi_{Y}\right|\right]=\frac{\delta(x)}{\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} P \frac{1}{|Y|}, \quad F^{-1}\left[\xi_{x}{ }^{2} \delta\left(\xi_{Y}\right)\right]=-\frac{\delta^{\prime \prime}(x)}{2 \pi} \\
& F^{-1}\left[\xi_{x}^{2} P \frac{1}{\left|\xi_{Y}\right|}\right]=\frac{\delta^{\prime \prime}(x)}{\pi}(\gamma+\ln |Y|) \\
& F^{-1}\left[\xi_{x}^{2} \ln \left|\xi_{x}\right| \delta\left(\xi_{Y}\right)\right]=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left[\gamma \delta^{\prime \prime}(x)+1 / 2 \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} P \frac{1}{|x|}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma$ is Euler's constant, then because of the continuity of the operator $\quad F^{-1}: S^{\prime}\left(R^{2}\right) \rightarrow S^{\prime}$ $\left(R^{2}\right)$, formula (1.4) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{G}\left\{\left[\Phi_{0}+\varepsilon^{2} \ln (2 / \varepsilon) \delta^{\prime \prime}(x)+e^{2} \Phi_{2}+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)\right] * u(x, Y, \varepsilon)=-2 \pi \beta \varepsilon p(x, Y, \varepsilon)\right.  \tag{1.6}\\
& \Phi_{0}=-2 \delta(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} P \frac{1}{Y} \\
& \Phi_{8}=\frac{\partial^{\prime \prime}(x)}{2}-\delta^{\prime \prime}(x) \ln |Y|+1 / 2 \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} P \frac{1}{T x \mid}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $p(x, Y, \varepsilon)$ have the following asymptotic

$$
p(x, Y, \varepsilon)=\sum_{i=0}^{2} \varepsilon^{i} p_{i}(x, Y)+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right), \quad p_{0 i} p_{1}, p_{2} \in C(G)
$$

Then it is natural to seek the asymptotic form $u(x, Y, e)$ in the following form that results from comparing the asymptotic expansions of the right and left sides in (1.6):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(x, Y, \varepsilon)=\varepsilon\left\{u_{0}(x, Y)+\varepsilon u_{1}(x, Y)+\varepsilon^{2} \ln (2 / \varepsilon) v(x, Y)+\right. \\
& \left.\quad \varepsilon^{2} u_{2}(x, Y)+w(x, Y, \varepsilon)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The third component in the braces is necessary to cancel the term of order $\boldsymbol{e}^{3} \ln \varepsilon$ generated by the corresponding logarithmic term in the asymptotic expansion of the kernel in (1.6).

The supports of all the functions here lie in $G ; u_{0}(x, Y)$ is a regular bounded continuous function, the functions $u_{1} u_{2}, v$ are regular and continuous in any closed domain not containing the ends $G(x= \pm L) ; w(x, Y, \varepsilon)=0(1)$ (not $o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$ because of the possible boundary layers at the ends of $G$ whose area tends to zero, in which the quantity $e^{-1} u(x, Y, \varepsilon)-u_{0}(x, Y)$ is bounded) and $w(x, Y, \varepsilon)=0\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$ in any closed domain not containing the ends $G$ 。

We will find $u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}, v$ in the middle part of $G$ (in any of its closed subdomains not containing the ends). We note that because of the continuity of the convolution operation in $S^{\prime}\left(R^{2}\right)$ as well as because the support of the function $\Phi_{0}$ and $\delta^{\prime \prime}(x)$ is the line $x=0$ in the middle part of the crack, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{0} * w(x, Y, \varepsilon)=o\left(e^{2}\right), \varepsilon^{2} \Phi_{2} * w(x, Y, \varepsilon)=o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \\
& \varepsilon^{2} \ln (2 / \varepsilon) \delta^{\prime \prime}(x) * w(x, Y, \varepsilon)=o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, equating terms of identical order in $(1,6)$, we obtain in the middle part of the crack

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{0} * u_{0}=-2 \pi \beta p_{0}, \Phi_{0} * u_{1}=-2 \pi \beta p_{1}, \Phi_{0} * u_{2}=  \tag{1.7}\\
& \quad-2 \pi \beta p_{2}-\Phi_{2} * u_{0} \\
& \Phi_{0} * v=-\delta^{\prime \prime}(x) * u_{0}=-\frac{d^{z}}{d x^{2}} \int_{-\rho(x)}^{\rho(x)} u_{0}(x, Y) d Y
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (1.7) can be considered as equalities of continuous functions. For any fixed $x \in(-L, L)$ each is (as should be expected) an equation of the plane problem of rectilinear crack of normal separation (with a certain force distribution along the edges) and all the equations can be solved successively in quadratures $/ 1,5 /$.

We present the explicit version of the asymptotic form for $p(x, Y, \varepsilon)=p=$ const. In this case we obtain from (1.7)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}=p_{2}=0, u_{1}=0, u_{0}=q, v=q f^{\prime \prime} / 4 \\
& u_{2}=1 / \mathrm{s} q\left\{2 f^{\prime \prime}+(2 \ln 2) f^{\prime \prime}-(f \ln f)^{\prime \prime}+\frac{d^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} T_{\theta} f\right\}= \\
& \quad 1 / q\left\{2(1+\ln 2) f^{\prime \prime}+(f R)^{\prime \prime}+\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{\prime}} T f\right\} \\
& f=f(x)=\rho^{2}(x) \theta(1-|x| L \mid) \\
& q=q(x, Y)=\beta p \sqrt{\rho^{2}(x)-Y^{2}}, \quad \Omega=\Omega(x)=\ln \frac{L^{2}-x^{2}}{f(x)} \\
& T f=\int_{-L}^{L} \frac{f\left(x^{\prime}\right)-f(x)}{\left|x^{\prime}-x\right|} d x^{\prime}, \quad T_{\theta} f=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\theta\left(1-\left|x^{\prime}-x\right|\right) f(x)}{\left|x^{\prime}-x\right|} d x^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

and finally

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(x, Y, \varepsilon)=\varepsilon q Q+o\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right)  \tag{1.8}\\
& Q=Q(x, Y, \varepsilon)=1+(1 / 8) \varepsilon^{2}\left[2 \ln (4 / \varepsilon) f^{\prime \prime}+2 f^{\prime \prime}+(f \Omega)^{\prime \prime}+d^{2}(T f) / d x^{2}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

If the function $f^{\prime \prime}(x)$ is integrable in $[-L, L]$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(x) \in C^{1}(-L, L)$, and $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ are bounded in $[-L, L]$, then ( 1.8 ) can be represented in a somewhat different form. In fact, for $x \in(-L, L)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}} T_{0} f=\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}\left[P \frac{1}{|x|} * f(x)\right]=_{\quad P \frac{1}{|x|} *\left[f^{\prime \prime}+f^{\prime}(-L) \delta(x+L)-f^{\prime}(L) \delta(x-L)+\right.}^{\left.\quad f(-L) \delta^{\prime}(x+L)-f(L) \delta^{\prime}(x-L)\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f^{\prime \prime}$ is the derivative understood in the ordinary (not generalized) sense. Hence, for $x \in(-L, L)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& P \frac{1}{|x|} * \frac{d^{2} f}{d x^{3}}=-f(L)(L-x)^{-2}-f(-L)(L+x)^{-2}-  \tag{1.9}\\
& f^{\prime}(L)(L-x)^{-1}+f^{\prime}(-L)(L+x)^{-1}+T_{6} f^{\prime \prime}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
Q=1+(2 / \mathrm{s}) \varepsilon^{2}\left[2 \ln (4 / \varepsilon) f^{n}+2 f^{n}+f^{n} \ln \left(L^{2}-x^{2}\right)+\right.
$$

$$
T f^{\prime \prime}-\left(f \ln f^{\prime \prime}\right)-f(L)(L-x)^{-2}-f(-L)(L+x)^{-2}+
$$

$$
\left.f^{\prime}(L)(L-x)^{-1}-f^{\prime}(-L)(L+x)^{-1}\right]
$$

We note that when using (1.9) for $Q$ the single difficulty is evaluation of the integral $T f^{\prime \prime}$ therein. In a number of cases (Sec. 3), this integral is found analytically, and in the general case numerically. It is here convenient to utilize the properties of the operator $T$ established in $/ 6 /$. For the stress intensity factors $N$ at the crack edge at the points $(x, \pm \varepsilon \rho(x)) \quad$ (for $x \in(-L, L)$ ) we obtain from (1.8)

$$
N=p \sqrt{\varepsilon \rho(x) / 2}\left[1+\varepsilon^{2} \rho^{\prime 2}(x)\right]^{1 / 4}\left[Q+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)\right]
$$

2. Curved extended crack. We now consider the more general case of a crack stretched along a certain smooth curve, given in the plane $z=0$, without selfreentrances $\mathbf{R}(l), l \in[-L, L]$ (it can even be closed $\mathbf{R}(L)=\mathbf{R}(-L)$ of length $2 L$, where $l$ is its natural parameter (the distance along the curve from its midpoint along the length). Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& d \mathbf{R}(l) / d l=\tau(l), \mathbf{n}(l)=\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{I}} \times \tau(l)  \tag{2.1}\\
& d \tau(l) / d l=-k(l) \mathbf{n}(l), \quad d \mathbf{n}(l) / d l=k(l) \tau(l)
\end{align*}
$$

where $T(l)$ and $n(l)$ are the tangential and normal directions to the curve, $k(l)$ is its curvature at the point $\mathbf{R}(l)$ (positive or negative). We introduce the coordinates $(l, m)$ in the $z=0$ plane

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}(l, m)=\mathbf{R}(l)+\mathbf{\varepsilon} m \rho(l) \mathbf{n}(l) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the conditions on $\rho(l)$ are the same as in Sec. 1). Then the domain of the crack $G$ (e) is given by the inequalities $|l| \leqslant L,|m| \leqslant 1$ (Fig. 2). As in Sec. 1 , the problem is to determine the asymptotic of the displacement $u(l, m, \varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. The Jacobian of the mapping given in
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$$
\begin{align*}
& D(l, m)=|\partial(x, y) / \partial(l, m)|=  \tag{2.3}\\
& \quad \varepsilon \rho(l)[1+e m \rho(l) k(l)]
\end{align*}
$$



Fig. 2
and we write (1.1) in the domain $G(8)$ in the following form, taking (2.1),(2.2) and (2.3) into account:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{x y} \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{-1}^{L} \frac{u\left(l^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, \varepsilon\right)}{|\Delta \mathbf{x}|} D\left(l^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right) d l^{\prime} d m^{\prime}=-2 \pi \beta p(l, m, \varepsilon) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here (compare /7/)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{x y} \varphi(l, m)=x^{-2} \partial^{2} \varphi \mid \partial l^{2}+\left[\varepsilon^{-2} \rho^{-2}+\right. \\
& m^{2} \rho^{\prime 2} \rho^{-2} x^{-2} \partial^{2} \partial^{2} \varphi \mid \partial m^{2}- \\
& 2 m m \rho^{\prime} \rho^{-1} x^{-2} \partial^{2} \varphi / \partial l \partial m-e m \rho k^{\prime} x^{-3} \partial \varphi / \partial l+ \\
& {\left[\varepsilon^{-1} \rho^{-1} x^{-1} k+m\left(2 \rho^{2}-\rho \rho^{\prime}\right) \rho^{-2} x^{-2}+e m^{2} \rho^{\prime} k^{\prime} x^{-3}\right] \partial \varphi / \partial m} \\
& x=1+\varepsilon m \rho k, \Delta x=x\left(l^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right)-\mathbf{x}(l, m)
\end{aligned}
$$

As in Sec. 1 , the asymptotic form of the operator

$$
K_{\Phi}=\Delta_{x y} \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\varphi\left(l^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right)}{|\Delta x|} D\left(l^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right) d l^{\prime} d m^{\prime}
$$

must be found to obtain the asymptotic of the function $u(l, m, \varepsilon)$ from (2.4). Since

$$
\begin{align*}
& K \varphi=\Delta_{x y}\left[8 H(\varphi \rho)+\varepsilon^{2} H\left(\varphi m \rho^{2} k\right)\right]  \tag{2.6}\\
& H \varphi=\int_{-L}^{L} \int_{-1}^{L} \frac{i \varphi\left(l^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right)}{|\Delta x|} d l^{\prime} d m^{r}
\end{align*}
$$

because of (2.3), it is sufficient to find the asymptotic form of the operator $H$ and then to use relationship (2,6).

Let the function $\varphi$ be fairly smooth, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \varphi=J(l, m)+\sum_{i=0}^{2} I_{i}(l, m), \quad J\left(l_{1} m\right)=\int_{-L}^{L} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\psi}{|\Delta x|} d l^{\prime} d m^{\prime} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi=\psi\left(l^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, l, m\right)=\varphi\left(l^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{i=0}^{2} \frac{1}{i!} \frac{\partial^{i} \varphi}{\partial l^{l}}\left(l, m^{\prime}\right)(\Delta l)^{i}  \tag{2.8}\\
\psi=O\left[(\Delta l)^{s}\right] \text { as } l^{\prime} \rightarrow l \\
I_{i}(l, m)=\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{i 1} \frac{\partial^{i} \varphi}{\partial l^{i}}\left(l, m^{\prime}\right) J_{i}\left(m^{\prime}, l, m\right) d m^{\prime}  \tag{2.9}\\
J_{i}\left(m^{\prime}, l, m\right)=\int_{-L}^{L} \frac{(\Delta l)^{i}}{|\Delta x|} d l^{\prime}
\end{gather*}
$$

According to (2.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\Delta x|^{-1}=A^{-1 / 4}-1 / 2 B A^{-1 / 2} \varepsilon-1 / 2 C A^{-1 / 2} e^{2}+3 / B^{2} B^{-1 / 3} \mathrm{e}^{2}+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \\
& A=A\left(l^{\prime}, l\right)=(\Delta R)^{2} \\
& B=B\left(l^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, l, m\right)=2(\Delta R) \Delta(m \rho n) \\
& C=C\left(l^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, l, m\right)=[\Delta(m \rho n)]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting (2.10) into the second formula in (2.7), we obtain the asymptotic form of the integral $J$. It is impossible to find the asymptotic form of the integrals $J_{i}$ by using only the asymptotic form (2.10) for the integrands, since the terms in the expansion (2.10) become infinite for $l^{\prime}=l$ and the corresponding integrals in (2.9) will diverge. To obtain the asymptotic forms of $J_{1}$ it is necessary to replace the integrands by their composite asymptotic expansion $/ 7-10 /$, which is the sum of the internal (for small $l^{\prime}-l$ ) and external (obtained from (2.10) and corresponding to the original coordinates) asymptotic expansions diminished by the common part with the internal asymptotic expansion. As a result of calculations, taking (2.7) and (2.10) into account, we obtain the following asymptotic form of the
operator

$$
\begin{align*}
& H \varphi=\int_{-L}^{L}\left[F\left(l^{\prime}\right)|\Delta \mathbf{R}|^{-1}-F(l)|\Delta l|^{-1}\right] d l^{\prime}+F(l) \ln \frac{4 g}{E^{2} q^{2}}-  \tag{2.41}\\
& 2 \int_{-1}^{1} \varphi_{0} \ln |\Delta m| d m^{\prime}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{-1}^{1}\left\{B|\Delta R|^{-3} \varphi_{1}-\chi_{1}|\Delta l|^{-1} \varphi_{0}\right\} \times \\
& d l^{\prime} d m^{\prime}+\varepsilon \int_{-1}^{1} \varphi_{0} \chi_{1} \Lambda_{1} d m^{\prime}-\frac{e^{2}}{2} \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{-1}^{1}\left\{C|\Delta \mathbf{R}|^{-3} \varphi_{1}-\right. \\
& h_{2}|\Delta l|^{-3}\left(\varphi_{1}-\psi\right)-h_{1} j^{\prime} \Delta l|\Delta l|^{-3}\left(\varphi_{0}+\varphi^{\prime} \Delta l\right)- \\
& \left.\left(m^{\prime 2} \rho^{\prime 2}+m^{\prime} m \chi^{2}+h_{1} \rho \rho^{\prime \prime}+1 / 8 \chi_{2}\right) \mid \Delta l l^{-1} \varphi_{0}\right\} d l^{\prime} d m^{\prime}+ \\
& \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{4} \int_{-1}^{1} \varphi^{\prime} h_{2}(1-\Lambda) d m^{\prime}+{ }^{8} / \varepsilon^{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{-1}^{1}\left\{B^{2}|\Delta \mathbf{R}|^{-b} \varphi_{1}-\chi_{2}^{2}|\Delta l|^{-1} \varphi_{0}\right\rangle \times \\
& d l^{\prime} d m^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(h_{2} l g^{-1}+h_{2} f^{\prime} \Lambda_{1}\right) d m^{\prime}+ \\
& \varepsilon^{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \varphi_{0}\left\{\frac{1}{2} h_{2}\left(L^{2}+l^{2}\right) g^{-2}+h_{1} f^{\prime} l_{g^{-1}}+1 / 48 \chi_{2}(5-3 \Lambda)+\right. \\
& \left.1_{1 / 2}\left(m^{\prime 2} \rho^{\prime 2}+m^{\prime} m \chi^{2}+h_{1} \rho \rho^{\prime \prime}\right) \Lambda_{1}+\frac{3}{8} \chi_{1}^{2} \Lambda-\chi_{2}^{2}\right\} d m^{\prime}+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(l)=\int^{1} \varphi(l, m) d m, \chi=\rho(l) k(l), \chi_{1}=\left(m^{\prime}+m\right) \chi, \chi_{2}= \\
& \quad(\Delta m)^{2} \chi^{2}, g=L^{-1}-l^{2}, \Lambda=\ln \left[4 g /\left(\varepsilon^{2} h_{2}\right)\right], \Lambda_{1}=1-\Lambda / 2, h_{1}= \\
& m^{\prime}(\Delta m), h_{2}=(\Delta m)^{2} \rho^{2}, \varphi_{0}=\varphi\left(l, m^{\prime}\right), \varphi_{1}=\varphi\left(l^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right), \varphi^{\prime}=\partial \varphi\left(l, m^{\prime}\right) / d l \\
& \rho, \rho^{\prime}, \rho^{\prime \prime}, f, f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

are taken from the argument $l$.
Making the same assumptions about the form of the asymptotic forms $p(l, m, \varepsilon)$ and $u(l, m$, ع) as in Sec. 1 , by taking account of $(2.12),(2.6),(2.5)$ and (2.4), we can obtain integrodifferential equations for $u_{0}(l, m), u_{1}(l, m), u_{2}(l, m), v(l, m)$, that generalize (1.7). To do this it is sufficient to show that the contribution of the remainder term $\varepsilon w(l, m, \varepsilon)$ containing the boundary layer at the ends has a lower order on the left side of (2.4) than the contribution of the other terms of the asymptotic form $u(l, m, \varepsilon)$. But this follows from the fact that the boundary layer contribution $u_{k}(x, y, \varepsilon)$ at the ends of $G(e)$ in the left side of (1.1) equals

$$
\Delta_{x v} \int_{\partial S} \frac{u_{k}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, \varepsilon\right)}{r} d x^{\prime} d y^{\prime}=o\left(\mathrm{e}^{2}\right)
$$

where $\delta S$ is the domain of boundary layer action with dimension $o$ ( $\varepsilon$ ) (the longitudinal dimension $O(1)$, the transverse $O(\varepsilon)$, and $(x, y)$ lies in the middie part of the crack and does not belong to $\delta S, u_{k}(x, y, \varepsilon)=O$ (e). The equations for $u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{k}, v$ reduce to the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial^{2} P\left(u_{0}\right) / \partial M^{2}=\pi \beta p_{0} 2 \partial^{2} P\left(u_{1}\right) / \partial M^{2}=2 \pi \beta p_{i}-k \partial P\left(u_{0}\right) / \partial M  \tag{2.12}\\
& 2 \partial^{3} P\left(v \ln (2 / \varepsilon)+u_{2}\right) / \partial M^{2}=2 \pi \beta p_{4}-k \partial P\left(u_{1}\right) / \partial M+I\left(U_{0}\right)+ \\
& { }^{1} / 2 \partial^{2}\left[U_{0} \ln \left(4 g \varepsilon^{-2}\right)\right] / \partial l^{2}-\partial^{2} P\left(n_{0}\right) / \partial l^{2}-\partial^{2} U_{0} / \partial l^{2}+ \\
& { }^{1} /{ }^{2} k^{2} U_{0} \ln \left(4 g \varepsilon^{-3}\right)+k^{2} M \partial P\left(u_{0}\right) / \partial M-1 / h^{2} P\left(u_{0}\right)+{ }^{7} / 12 k^{2} U_{0} \\
& M=\rho(l) m, \quad U_{0}(l)=\int_{-\rho(l)}^{\rho(l)} u_{0}(l, M) d M \\
& I\left(U_{0}\right)=\int_{-L}^{L}\left\{\frac{U_{0}\left(l^{\prime}\right)}{1 \Delta \mathrm{R} \mathrm{~T}^{2}}-\frac{1}{\mid \Delta l \mathrm{~T}} \sum_{i=0}^{2} \frac{1}{i!} \frac{\partial^{i} U_{0}}{\partial l^{i}}(l)(\Delta l)^{i}-\right. \\
& \left.\frac{1}{8|\Delta l|} k^{2}(l) U_{0}(l)\right\} d l^{\prime}, \quad P(\varphi)=\int_{-\rho(l)}^{p(l)} \varphi\left(l, M^{\prime}\right) \ln \left|M-M^{\prime}\right| d M^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

For $p(l, m, \varepsilon)=p=\mathrm{const}$, we obtain from (2.12)

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(l, m, \varepsilon)=\varepsilon \beta p \rho(l) \sqrt{1-m^{2}} Q(l, m, \varepsilon)+o\left(\varepsilon^{8}\right)  \tag{2.13}\\
& Q(l, \dot{m}, \varepsilon)=1-\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \chi m+\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{4}\left(f^{a}+\frac{\chi^{2}}{4}\right) \ln \frac{4}{\varepsilon}+\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{8} \frac{d^{1}(f \Omega)}{d l^{2}}+
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\frac{e^{2}}{32} \chi^{2} \Omega-\frac{e^{2}}{8} f^{n}-\frac{e^{2}}{12} \chi^{2}+1 / 1 \varepsilon^{2} \chi^{2} m^{2}+\frac{e^{2}}{4} I(f)
$$

and the stress intensity factor at the points ( $l$, $\ddagger \mathrm{ep}(l)$ ) equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{ \pm}=p \sqrt{e p(l) / 2}\left[(1 \pm 8 x)^{2}+8^{2} \rho^{\prime 8}\right]^{1 / 4}(1 \pm e x)^{-4 / n} \times\left[Q(l, \pm 1, \varepsilon)+o\left(s^{2}\right)\right] \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Examples. We present the explicit form of the asymptotic forms obtained from Secs. 1 and 2 for cracks of different shape for $p(x, y, \varepsilon)=p=$ const, and we compare the results with exact or numerical solutions.
$1^{\circ}$. An elliptical crack

$$
\rho(x)=\sqrt{L^{2}-x^{2}}, Q=1-1 / e^{2}(\ln (4 / e)-1 / 2)
$$

The exact solution has the form $([1]): u(x, Y, \varepsilon)=\varepsilon q / E\left(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^{2}}\right)$ and the first terms of its asymptotic being considered agree with those obtained. Graphs of $Q(e)$ (curve 1) and 1/ $E\left(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^{2}}\right)$ (curve 2) are shown in Fig. 3. The accuracy of the asymptotic formulas (1.8) and (1,10) is of the order of $3 \%$ even for $\varepsilon=0.5$, and is fractions of a percent for $\varepsilon<0,25$.
$2^{\circ}$. A crack bounded by parabolic ares:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho(x)=L\left(1-x^{2} / L^{2}\right) \\
& Q=1+\left({ }^{2} / \mathrm{s}\right)\left\{\left(3 \lambda^{2}-1\right) \ln \left[16 \mathrm{e}^{-2}\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right)^{-1}\right]-10 \lambda^{2}+2\right\} \varepsilon^{2} \\
& \lambda=x / L
\end{aligned}
$$

The change in the intensity factor along the crack boundaxy is shown in Figs. 4a.and $b$ ( $s$ is the distance from the middle along the boundary) for $p=1, L=e^{-1}=4$ (Fig. 4a), and $L=e^{-1}=6$ (Fig. 4b; curve 1 is obtained by using the asymptotic formula (1.10) and curve 2 by using the formula $N_{0}=p \sqrt{8 \rho / 2}\left[1+\varepsilon^{2} \rho^{\prime}\right]^{\mu / 4}$ (corresponding to the plane problem approximation), and curve 3 numerically*. The discrepancy does not exceed i - 3\% near the middle part of the crack.
$3^{\circ}$. Crack in the shape of a generalized ellipse: $\rho(x)=L\left(1-x^{2} / L^{2}\right)=5>0$. For $x=0$ (on the axis of crack symetry)

$$
Q=1-1 / 4 e^{2}\left[\ln \left(16 \varepsilon^{-8}\right)-1-\Gamma^{\prime}(\zeta) / \Gamma(\zeta)-\gamma\right]
$$



Fig. 3


Fig. 5



Fig. 4

[^1]Given in the table are numerical (in parentheses) and asymptotic values of the intensity factor for $\zeta=1 / 2$ and $\zeta=3 / 2$ (referred to the intensity factor for the plane problem No).

| $3^{\circ}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \varepsilon=1 / 9 \\ 0.954(0,98) \\ 0.908(0.905) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \varepsilon=1 / 88) \\ 0, .977(0.989) \\ 0.950(0.948) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4{ }^{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} \alpha_{0} & =\pi / 3 \\ \alpha_{0} & =\pi / 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & m=1 \\ & m=-1 \\ & m=1 \\ & m=-1 \end{aligned}$ | $\varepsilon=1 / 4$ $0.9410 .919)$ $1.066(1.018)$ 0.950 $1.076(1.049)$ | $\varepsilon=1 / 8$ $0.870(0.926)$ $1.032(0.976)$ $0.973(0.919)$ $1.035(0,980)$ |
| $5^{\circ}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & m=1 \\ & m=-1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \varepsilon=1 / 3 \\ 0.882(0.643) \\ 1.049(0.863) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \varepsilon=1 / 6 \\ 0,946(0,949) \\ 1,030(1,032) \end{gathered}$ |

$4^{\circ}$. Crack in the shape of an annular sector. The line $R(l)$ has the shape of an are of a circle of radius $R$ of length $2 L=2 \alpha_{0} R, 0<\alpha_{0}<\pi, \rho(l)=R, \chi=1$. In this case

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q=1-1 / 4 \varepsilon m+\frac{e^{3}}{32}\left[\ln \left(256 \varepsilon^{-2} \operatorname{tg} \frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} \operatorname{tg} \frac{\alpha_{2}}{2}\right)-\cos \alpha_{1} \operatorname{ctg} \alpha_{1}-\right. \\
& \left.\quad \cos \alpha_{2} \operatorname{ctg} \alpha_{2}-3+6 m^{2}\right], \quad \alpha=\frac{b}{A}, \quad \alpha_{1}=\frac{\alpha_{0}-\alpha}{2}, \quad \alpha_{3}=\frac{\alpha_{0}+\alpha}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case of an annular crack $\left(\alpha_{0}=\pi\right.$, inner radius $R_{1}=(1-\varepsilon) R$, and outer radius $\left.R_{2}=(1+\varepsilon) R\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=1-0,25 m m+\varepsilon^{2}\left[\ln \left(256 \varepsilon^{-2}\right)-3+6 m^{2}\right] / 32 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the asymptotic form (2.14) agrees with /11/。 Given in Fig. 5 is the dependence of $N_{ \pm} N_{0}$ on the ratio $R_{1} / R_{2}$ determined numerically (by using data in $/ 12 /$, curves 1 and 2 ), and by the asymptotic formulas (curves 3 and 4)。 For $R_{1} / R_{2}=0.5$, the error is $1-2 \%$, while for $R_{1} / R_{2} \geqslant 0.7$ it is fractions of a percent. The intensity factors $N_{ \pm} / N_{0}$ for the annular sector are presented in the table.
$5^{\circ}$. "Banana-shaped" crack. The line $\mathbf{R}(l)$ has the form of a semicircle of radius $R$ and $\rho(l)=\sqrt{\cos \alpha,} \alpha=l / R$ (Fig. 6).

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q=1-1 / \varepsilon \sqrt{\cos \alpha m}-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{32}\left[3 \cos \alpha \ln \left(\frac{256}{\varepsilon^{2}} \operatorname{tg} \frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} \operatorname{tg} \frac{\alpha_{2}}{2} / \cos \alpha\right)-\right. \\
& 5 \cos \alpha_{1}+4 \sin \alpha \operatorname{tg} \alpha-6 m^{2} \cos \alpha+\cos \alpha\left(\cos \alpha_{1} \operatorname{ctg} \alpha_{1}+\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad \cos \alpha_{2} \operatorname{ctg} \alpha_{2}\right)+4 \sin \alpha\left(\sin ^{-1} \alpha_{2}-\sin ^{-1} \alpha_{1}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\alpha_{2}=\frac{\pi-2 \alpha}{4}, \quad \alpha_{2}=\frac{\pi+2 \alpha}{4}
$$

The intensity factor $N_{ \pm}$is in the table for $a=0$ (on the axis of symmetry).
$6^{\circ}$. A constant width crack stretched along the arc of a parabola. The line $\mathbf{R}(l)$ is given parametrically: $\quad x=a \alpha, y=a \alpha^{2} / 2,|\alpha| \leqslant \alpha_{0}, \alpha_{0}>0 \quad$ ( $a$ has the meaning of the focal parameter of the parabola): $\rho(l)=a=$ const. For $l=\alpha=0$ (at the parabola vertex) $y=1$ and


$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(0, m, \varepsilon)=1-{ }^{1} / 4 \varepsilon m+\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{16} \ln \frac{4}{8}+\frac{9}{32} e^{2}+\frac{\ln 2}{8} \varepsilon^{2}+\frac{3}{16} \varepsilon^{2} m^{2}- \\
& \quad e^{2}\left[\frac{\alpha_{1}^{3}}{3 \alpha_{0}{ }^{2} \alpha_{2}}+\frac{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}{24 \alpha_{0}^{2}}+\frac{1}{16} \ln \frac{2 \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{0}}\right] \\
& \alpha_{1}=\sqrt{1+\alpha_{0}^{2}}, \quad \alpha_{2}=\sqrt{4+\alpha_{0}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Fig. 6
The case $\alpha_{0} \rightarrow \infty$ corresponds to an infinite crack of constant width stretched along a parabola. The quantity $Q(0, m, \varepsilon)$ here differs from the value of ( 3.1 ) by an amount ( $1 / 18$ ) $\varepsilon^{2}$ $\ln 3$, i.e., the values of the intensity factor $N_{ \pm}$are not much less than for an annular crack with the same local characteristics (width and curvature).
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# Stationary motions of a gyrostat hith an elastic anNuLar plate ani their stability* 

M.K. NABIULLIN<br>Using Rumyantsev methods / $1-3 /$ in the Kuz'min form/4/, stationary motions are deduced for a gyrostat with a circular annular plate ciamped by the inner contour in a housing, and sufficient conditions are obtained for their stability. The paper touches on a cycle of papers devoted to investigating the stability of systems with distributed parameters: elastic rods, flexible rectangular plates; and a flexible string /5-19/.

1. We introduce the following coordinate system: $c_{x_{1} x_{2} x_{7}}$ is the orbital system with origin at the centre of mass of the mechanical system for the plate state of strain, the $C_{x_{2}}$ axis is along the orbit radius, the $C_{x_{3}}$ axis is perpendicular to the orbit plane, and the axis $C x_{1}$ is orthogonal to the $C x_{3} C x_{3}$ axes; Oxyz is the coordinate system coupled rigidly to the gyrostat housing whose axes are directed along the principal central axes constructed for the centre of mass $O$ of the system for the undeformed state of the plate; $C_{y_{1} y_{2} y_{s}}$ is the coordinate system whose $y_{\text {; }}$ axes $(s=1,2,3)$ are parallel to the $x, y$, $z$ axes, respectively.

We will define the gyrostat location in the orbital coordinate system by the Euler angles $\psi, \theta, \phi \quad$ and the direction of the $x_{s}$ axes $(s=1,2, i$, with respect to the axes of the system $C_{y_{1} y_{z} y_{z}}$ by the direction cosines $\alpha_{41}, \alpha_{s 2}, \alpha_{33}$ that depend in a known manner on the angles $\varphi_{1} \theta, \varphi$, for instance, $\alpha_{21}=\sin \varphi \sin \theta$ [20].

We will define the location of points of the plate in the deformed state with respect to the gyrostat housing by a radius-vector whose projections on the axes are

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{x}=(a+r) \cos \lambda-z u_{1}, \quad r_{y}=(a+r) \sin \lambda-z u_{z}  \tag{1.1}\\
& r_{z}=z+w\left(u_{1}=w_{r} \cos \lambda-(a+r)^{-1} w_{\lambda} \sin \lambda, \quad u_{z}=w_{r} \sin \lambda+(a+r)^{-1} w_{\lambda} \cos \lambda\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Here $a$ is the radius of the inner circular contour of the middle plane located in the oxy plane, $a+r, \lambda, z$ are cylindrical coordinates of an arbitrary point of the plate in the undeformed state, $w(r, \lambda, t)$ is the projection of the elastic displacement vector of an arbitrary point of the midale plane on the z-axis, and the letter subscripts on the quantity $w$ denote first-order partial derivatives with respect to the variable indicated in the subscript.

[^2]
[^0]:    *Prikl.Matem.Mekhan., Vol. $48,5,854-863,1984$

[^1]:    *The numerical solutions of the crack problems used for comparison in Examples 2-6 are constructed in the paper by Gol'dshtein, R.V., Otroshchenko, I.V., and Fedorenko, R.P., Method of refining boundary meshes in three-dimensional crack theory problems. Preprint, Institute of Mechanics Problems, USSR Academy of Sciences, No. 239, Moscow, 1984.
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